MEETING DATE: August 9th, 2018  □ Did not meet

NUMBER IN ATTENDANCE: 16

AGENDA ITEMS:

Data and Performance Management Committee Meeting
Notes

1. City of Santa Ana Data Request
   a. Hafsa Kaka from the City of Santa Ana provided a description and purpose of the request. Three primary reasons for the data include: research, media, and alignment with the city and county. The City of Santa Ana is also interested in creating a dashboard that provides data of residents experiencing homelessness in Santa Ana. The County of Orange and the committee expressed some concern over how much individualized data requests would begin to charge the system over time, as well as how to standardize the data pulled for different cities. The City of Santa Ana request for data was recommended to be shared at the upcoming CoC Board meeting to create a framework for the request, along with creating a framework for possible future data requests. Erin agreed to work on the proposal and continue conversations with Hafsa for clarification. See slides 2-4 for more information on the type of request from the City of Santa Ana.

2. CoC Board Report
   a. Erin shared highlights to the CoC Board Report for the month of July. Highlights include 100% entries into Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) came from homelessness, average days on the CES Prioritization List has decreased, and PSH
and Transitional Housing (TH) projects met the threshold for Leavers with Increased Income. Erin also shared areas for improvement, such as decreasing the number of vacant Emergency Shelter (ES) beds, meeting the thresholds for Stayers with Increased Income, and increasing the number of successful exits from TH and ES projects. The committee recommended including the totals to the CoC Board report data for reference to the percentages on the report.

3. Project Performance Analysis
   a. Preview
      i. Jim Wheeler from the County of Orange shared the importance of system performance analysis for the Continuum of Care. System performance is crucial to local CoC’s because high performing CoC’s are effectively meeting HUD standard goals and increasing the odds of being awarded the yearly available NOFA bonus projects for new permanent housing opportunities. Agencies only review their system performance together once a year, but Erin and Jim would like to increase the number of times agencies review their performance scores. The goal is to continuously find areas for improvement and collaboratively, assist in improving the system. Erin stated that all executive directors will be notified via email to avoid surprises regarding the project performance analysis.

   b. Schedule
      i. Project performance analysis will focus on different measures and will be shared at the upcoming Data and Performance Management meetings bimonthly. Project performance data will be reviewed on a project level to determine if thresholds need to be updated, and to determine improvement plans for each measure and/or project. 2-1-1 Orange County will provide technical assistance and support to projects requiring assistance. In addition, the same measure will be reviewed five months after the initial analysis to evaluate how performance has changed. Erin shared the analysis schedule, on slide 9, to allow agencies time to
prepare for the evaluated measure. One year’s worth of data will be used in the analysis and evaluations will be on a yearly rolling schedule. Elizabeth Andrade stated that this information will be shared at the upcoming Homeless Provider Forum in addition to notifying the executive directors.

4. Clarity Migration Update
   a. Erin provided an update about Clarity’s custom data migration. Updates to services, case notes and coordinated entry can be found on slide 10.

**PRESENTATIONS:** CoC Monthly Board Report

**ACTION ITEMS/NEXT STEPS:**

**NEEDED CoC BOARD ACTION:**

**DATE OF NEXT MEETING:** September 13, 2018, 1:30 – 3:00

**Additional Comments:**
Meeting Date: July 17th Did not meet

Number in Attendance: 18

Agenda Items: Committee Role and Structure. Committee elected Zulima as co-chair. We discussed a prioritization road test concept Individual. Access Point Sub Committee still working on completing their work on manual and standards.

Presentations: NA

Action Items/Next Steps: Present Road Test Concept to CoC Board at July Meeting. Start testing and refining prioritization policy to bring back to CoC for approval.

Needed CoC Board Action: N/A

Date of Next Meeting: September 18th.

Additional Comments: N/A
ORANGE COUNTY
CONTINUUM OF CARE BOARD
Street Outreach
Monthly Committee Report

MEETING DATE: _______ DID NOT MEET __________________

NUMBER IN ATTENDANCE: ________________

AGENDA ITEMS:

PRESENTATIONS:

ACTION ITEMS/NEXT STEPS:

NEEDED CoC BOARD ACTION:

DATE OF NEXT MEETING:

Additional Comments:

Please submit your report to the Continuum of Care Manager by no later than the Friday prior to the Coc Board meeting.
MEETING DATE: __________DID NOT MEET IN JULY__________________

NUMBER IN ATTENDANCE:____________

AGENDA ITEMS:

PRESENTATIONS:

ACTION ITEMS/NEXT STEPS:

NEEDED CoC BOARD ACTION:

DATE OF NEXT MEETING: August 24, 2018

Additional Comments:
PRESENTATIONS:

AGENDA

GROUP/FORUM NAME: Orange County’s Homeless Provider Forum
Chairs: Pastor Donald Dermit, The Rock Homeless Ministries
       Tiffany Mitchell, Project Hope Alliance
       Tim Houchen, Hope 4 Restoration
MEETING DATE: August 2, 2018 9:00 a.m. – 11:00
□ Did not meet
NUMBER IN ATTENDANCE: 85

AGENDA ITEMS:

● Welcome and Announcements
● Continuum of Care Updates - Jim Wheeler, CoC Manager, Orange County
● Office of Care Coordination Regional System of Care Presentation – Susan Price, Director of Care Coordination
● Coordinated Entry System Update – Patti Long, Mercy House
● Questions and Answers / Closing Remarks

PRESENTATIONS:

● Continuum of Care Updates - Jim Wheeler, CoC Manager, Orange County: CoC is accepting RFQ/RFPs for Rapid Rehousing and Joint Transitional Rapid Rehousing opportunities that focuses on victims of domestic violence. Deadline to submit the CoC Project Application is September 18th. All agencies submitting renewal projects will have project presentations that will thoroughly review how an agency is performing. Project priority ranking recommendations will be presented to the CoC Board on August 22nd.

● Office of Care Coordination Regional System of Care Presentation – Susan Price, Director of Care Coordination: 2019 Point-in-Time will change in methodology and conduct a full count rather than an extrapolation. Partners working with The County include: Urban Initiatives, CityNet, OC Public Works ArcGIS Unit, 2-1-1 Orange County, and United Way. Regional navigation centers coordinate with other shelter programs within the Service Planning Area to facilitate regional targeting of resources that include: mental health, physical health, public benefits, employment, and housing placement. The family component of CES is now led by the Family Solutions Collaborative, the individual component is led by the County of Orange, and the 2-1-1 Orange County helpline leads as the Virtual Front Door. 2,700 units are in the pipeline to be developed for Permanent Supportive Housing.

● Coordinated Entry System Update – Patti Long, Mercy House: Concept road test for new prioritization policy will be implemented and will allow for feedback, testing, retesting, refining and correcting in order to present fully tested policy to the COC Board. New policy will pull a subset of individuals that have experienced homelessness the longest, will then filter by acuity score and allow services provider connected to the client and the housing provider to discuss the best housing intervention for the individual.
ORANGE COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE BOARD
Monthly Committee Report by Pastor Donald Dermit

ACTION ITEMS/NEXT STEPS:
None

NEEDED CoC BOARD ACTION:
None

DATE OF NEXT MEETING:  September 6th, 9:00-11:00 am

Additional Comments:
- Radiant Health Centers announced that their Housing Resource Guide has been updated and released.
ORANGE COUNTY
CONTINUUM OF CARE BOARD
Shelter Committee Report

MEETING DATE: August 16, 2018
☐ Did not meet

NUMBER IN ATTENDANCE: 16

AGENDA ITEMS:

The committee met to assess proposed goals and set plans for the future of the committee’s work.

PRESENTATIONS:

Curtis Gamble presented several documents on available services from faith communities and city shelter ordinances.

Dawn Price provided suggested training materials. The group agreed to review NAEH shelter resources, OrgCode Housing-Focused Shelter white paper, City of San Francisco Shelter Worker Training Curriculum, and HUD Standards for Shelter Facilities.

ACTION ITEMS/NEXT STEPS:

The group established the 3rd Wednesday at 1:30 as its regular meeting time. Tentative location is County offices at 1300 S. Grand. The committee will meet on the second Wednesday (12th) in September due to Yom Kippur.

NEEDED CoC BOARD ACTION:

None

DATE OF NEXT MEETING:

Wednesday, Sept 12 (special day due to Yom Kippur) 1:30 at 1300 S. Grand

Additional Comments:

Going forward, Mia Ferriera of Friendship Shelter will chair this committee.
July 2018 CoC Board Report

Entries from Homelessness
- Street Outreach: 95
- Emergency Shelter: 151
- Transitional Housing: 24
- Rapid Re-Housing: 54
- Permanent Supportive Housing: 3

Average number of days between the client's Project Start Date and Report End Date (or Project End Date).

Average Days until PH Placement
- Rapid Re-Housing: 38
- Permanent Supportive Housing: 19
- Other Permanent Housing: 5

Where clients that enrolled into projects within the past month were living prior to entry.

Average Length of Stay
- Emergency Shelter: 53
- Transitional Housing: 208

Percentage of beds and units occupied during the month.

Unit Utilization
- Emergency Shelter: 67%
- Transitional Housing: 78%
- Other Permanent Housing: 76%
- Permanent Supportive Housing: 106%

Average number of days between the client's Project Start Date and Housing Move-In Date.

Stayers with Increased Income
- Emergency Shelter: 0%
- Transitional Housing: 0%
- Homeless Prevention: 3%
- Rapid Re-Housing: 21%
- Permanent Supportive Housing: 48%
- Other Permanent Housing: 0%

Percentage of adults enrolled for at least one year that increased their income from any source between entry and latest update.

Leavers with Increased Income
- Emergency Shelter: 4%
- Transitional Housing: 39%
- Homeless Prevention: 0%
- Rapid Re-Housing: 22%
- Permanent Supportive Housing: 100%
- Other Permanent Housing: 0%

Percentage of adults exited during the month that increased their income from any source between entry and exit.
### Successful Exits

Percentage of clients that exited during the month to a successful destination for that project type.

- **Street Outreach**: 77%
- **Emergency Shelter**: 17%
- **Transitional Housing**: 46%
- **Homeless Prevention**: 100%
- **Rapid Re-Housing**: 86%

### Maintained PSH/OPH or Exit to PH

Percentage of clients that remained in PSH or OPH at the end of the month or exited to a permanent housing situation during the month.

- **Other Permanent Housing**: 100%
- **Permanent Supportive Housing**: 100%

### Clients Placed in Permanent Housing

159 clients

Number of clients placed in permanent housing situations during the month.

### Subpopulation Demographics

Number of assessed and document ready clients on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List by subpopulation.

- **North**: 61 (61), 148 (148), 49 (49)
- **Central**: 27 (27), 25 (25), 21 (21)
- **South**: 2 (2), 100 (100), 14 (14)

### Average Days on the Prioritization List

Average number of days between the client’s Survey Date and the last date of the month for assessed and document ready clients on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List.

- **Households without Children**: 195 days
- **Households with Children and Adults**: 108 days

### Coordinated Entry Inflow

Number of households on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List with a survey date during the month.

- **Households without Children**: 101
- **Households with Children and Adults**: 55

Updated 8/7/18
CoC Ad-Hoc Committee Report
August 17, 2018

Present: Judson Brown, Albert Ramirez, and Donald Dermit (Absent: George Searcy, Jeanne Awrey and Curtis Gamble)

Ad-Hoc Committee Recommendations

1. Background

On April 09, 2018 HUD released the FY 2018 CoC Program Registration Notice. Subsequently, the CoC Board appointed a NOFA Ad-Hoc Committee (Committee) to move the 2018 NOFA process forward on behalf of the CoC. On May 3, 2018 the Committee met to review the 2017 NOFA process and to establish necessary changes to the 2018 process. These changes involved establishing a scored Agency Administrative Review and one non-scored LOI. Additionally, the Committee determined that the most recently submitted APR would be use for each agency’s performance measurements. The Committee also determined that during the 2018 NOFA process agency presentations would be included as a part of the scoring and ranking process. Finally, at the May 16, 2018 meeting the Committee approved drafts of the 2018 Policy and Process document, final performance measurements, NOFA process timeline and the scoring rubric for agency presentations. These items were presented to and approved by the full CoC Board on May 23, 2018.

On June 20, 2018 HUD Released the 2018 CoC NOFA. There were several new elements for consideration in this year’s NOFA:

- CoCs may apply for DV Bonus Projects to serve survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking
- Reallocation and Bonus funding may be combined, and Bonus funding may be used to fund HMIS or Coordinated Entry projects, not just permanent housing
- Applicants may transition their project(s) from one CoC Program Component to another over a one-year grant cycle
- Applicants may consolidate two, three, or four eligible renewal projects into one project during the application process
- Applicants may apply for new funding to expand CoC-Program-funded or non-CoC-Program funded projects

HUD also added a policy priority indicating that CoCs should work to develop partnerships with Public Housing Authorities (PHAs) to work toward helping CoC Program participants exit Permanent Supportive Housing through Housing Choice Vouchers (formerly Section 8 vouchers) and other available housing options.

Additionally, HUD has underscored the point that efforts to prevent and end homelessness should consider and address racial inequities to achieve positive outcomes for all persons experiencing homelessness.

HUD’S 2018 POLICY PRIORITIES:
CoCs will be evaluated based on the extent to which they further HUD’s policy priorities. The policy priorities listed this year are:

1. **Ending Homelessness for All Persons**
   - CoCs should identify, engage, and effectively serve all persons experiencing homelessness.
   - CoCs should measure their performance based on local data taking into challenges faced by all subpopulations experiencing homelessness in the geographic area (e.g., veterans, youth, families, and these experiencing chronic homelessness).
   - CoCs should have a comprehensive outreach strategy to identify and continuously engage all unsheltered individuals and families.
   - CoCs should use local data to determine the characteristics of individuals and families with the highest needs and longest experiences of homelessness to develop housing and supportive services tailored to their needs.
   - CoCs should use the reallocation process to create new projects that improve their overall performance and better respond to their needs.

2. **Creating a Systemic Response to Homelessness**
   - CoCs should be using system performance measures such as the average length of homeless episodes, rates of return to homelessness, and rates of exit to permanent housing destinations to determine how effectively they are serving people experiencing homelessness.
   - CoCs should be using their Coordinated Entry process to promote participant choice, coordinate homeless assistance and mainstream housing and services to ensure people experiencing homelessness receive assistance quickly, and make homelessness assistance open, inclusive, and transparent.

3. **Strategically Allocating and Using Resources**
   - Using cost, performance, and outcome data, CoCs should improve how resources are utilized to end homelessness.
   - CoCs should review project quality, performance, and cost effectiveness.
   - HUD encourages CoCs to maximize the use of mainstream and other community-based resources when serving persons experiencing homelessness.
   - CoCs should work to develop partnerships with Public Housing Authorities to work toward helping CoC Program participants exit Permanent Supportive Housing through Housing Choice Vouchers and other available housing options.
   - CoCs should review all projects eligible for renewal in FY 2018 to determine their effectiveness in serving people experiencing homelessness, including cost effectiveness.

4. **Using a Housing First Approach**
   - CoC-Program-funded projects should help individuals and families move quickly into permanent housing, and the CoC should measure and help projects reduce the length of time people experience homelessness.
   - CoCs should engage landlords and property owners, remove barriers to entry, and adopt client-centered service methods.
Having received this direction from HUD, the Committee met with CoC Executive Directors on July 9, 2018 to align the priorities for the 2018 NOFA process based on HUD’s instructions in the NOFA. Based on that meeting a revised 2018 Policy and Process document was submitted to the CoC Board for approval at special Board meeting held on July 18, 2018.

As in year’s past, CoCs will be required to rank all new and renewal project applications submitted by project applicants in e-snaps. HUD will also continue the Tier 1 and Tier 2 funding process. HUD has established each CoC’s Tier 1 and Tier 2 amounts based on the total amount of funds requested by eligible renewal project applications. This is referred to as the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD). This year HUD has determined that Tier 1 is equal to 94% of a CoC’s ARD. Projects in this tier are conditionally selected from the highest scoring CoC to the lowest scoring CoC, provided the project applications pass both eligibility and threshold review. Any type of new or renewal project application can be placed in Tier 1.

Tier 2 is the difference between Tier 1 and the CoC’s ARD plus any amount available for the permanent housing and Dv bonuses. This does not include the amounts available for CoC planning grants. Project applications that are in Tier 2 will be selected for FY 2018 CoC Program funding using a process/formula described in the NOFA. Projects placed in Tier 2 will be assessed for eligibility and threshold requirements, and funding will be determined using the CoC’s overall score, CoC project ranking order, and a project’s commitment to Housing First.

If a project application straddles the Tier 1 and Tier 2 funding line, HUD will conditionally select the project up to the amount of funding that falls within Tier 1 as stated above; and then, using the CoC score and other factors described in the NOFA, HUD may fund the Tier 2 portion of the project. If HUD does not fund the Tier 2 portion of the project, HUD may award the project at the reduced amount, provided the project is still feasible with the reduced funding (e.g., is able to continue serving homeless program participants effectively).

This year the funding available for the CA-602 Santa Ana, Anaheim/Orange County CoC is as follows:

- Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) - $22,807,570
- Tier 1 (94% of ARD) – $21,439,116
- Tier 2 (6% of ARD) – $1,368,454
- Bonus (6% of ARD) – $1,368,454
- DV Bonus – $1,461,215
- Planning Grant – $684,227

Based on the instructions given in the NOFA and the results of performance measures and agency presentations the scoring rubric approved by the CoC Board were tallied and the Ad-Hoc Committee met on August 17, 2018 to analyze the final performance results for each project and to determine a possible strategy for reallocating, ranking and tiering of each project. Immediately following, the Ad-Hoc Committee met with the CoC Executive Directors and had a comprehensive discussion about reallocation of funds and were projects should be ranked in Tier 1 and Tier 2.

2. **Recommendations**

**Recommendation 1 – Reallocation**

Continuum of Care Board Meeting – August 22, 2018
It was recommended that funding from two projects be reallocated toward the expansion of existing projects.

- 1736 Family Crisis Center RRH - $191,000
- Orange County Housing Authority (OCHA) - $109,000
- TOTAL Available for Reallocation: $300,000

The Ad-Hoc recommends that the total reallocated amount of $300,000 be divide evenly amongst the three top performing RRH projects (Families Forward, Interval House, and SPIN). This funding is to be used for increasing direct housing placement assistance proportionate to each projects current serving capacity.

Recommendation 2 – Ranking and Tiering

**Ranking:** Project rankings were based on performance weighted score.

**Tiering:** Because of where the funding line fell this year, one project by necessity is required to straddle Tier 1 and Tier 2. 1736 Family Crisis Center RRH was chosen to straddle this year based on several factors including poor performance measures and request to reclassify target population. The strategic decision was also made to place a higher performing OCHA project (2003 Shelter Plus Care) in Tier 2 in lieu of multiple small projects. This was also done to ensure Service Planning Area (SPA) coverage. Finally, the Ad-Hoc determined that the Bonus Project(s) be placed at the bottom of Tier 2 with the Bonus project first and the DV Bonus last.

Appeal Process

The CoC Board approves all reallocation decisions and applications for ranking/funding recommendations to HUD. That ranking decision is communicated to all applicants by email. In all cases, the Appeals Committee has the final authority on appeals decisions.

**A. Who May Appeal**

An agency may appeal a decision made by the CoC Board concerning a project application submitted by that agency. If the project was submitted by a collaboration of agencies, only one joint appeal may be made.

**B. What May Be Appealed**

An agency may appeal a flaw in methodology or in the process used in the decision. The overall performance measurements or data are not a basis for an appeal. Agencies may appeal any of the following decisions of the CoC Board.

- Elimination of renewal grant (i.e. entire grant re-allocated to a new project)
- Placement of project into Tier 2

Applicants placed in Tier 1 may not appeal their rank on the Project Priority List.

**C. Timing**

Agencies intending to appeal must notify Jim Wheeler Continuum of Care Manager by email (jim.wheeler@occr.ocgov.com) by the given deadline which will allow for a minimum of 24 hours after being notified of the decision. Agencies will receive an email after the CoC Board meeting with the decisions and appeal process information. The email will also contain the specific deadline.
If an appeal is filed, other agencies whose rank may be affected will be notified as a courtesy. Such agencies will not be able to file an appeal after the appeals process is complete. They may file an appeal within the original appeals timeline.

D. Initiating the Formal Appeal
The Formal Appeal must be submitted by 12:00 p.m. 2 business days after the CoC Board decision. The appeal document must consist of a short, written statement (no longer than 2 pages) of the agency’s appeal of the decision addressing the flaw in methodology or in the process used in the decision. The overall performance measurements or data are not a basis for an appeal.

The statement can be in the form of a letter or a memo from an individual authorized to represent the agency (i.e., Executive Director). The appeal must be transmitted by email to Jim Wheeler Continuum of Care Manager by email (jim.wheeler@occr.ocgov.com)

E. Members of the Appeal Panel
The Appeals Panel will be selected from the CoC Board or its designees. These individuals have no conflict of interest in serving. Voting members of the Appeal Panel shall not serve simultaneously on the CoC Ad Hoc Committee; however, a CoC Ad Hoc Committee member and a CoC staff person will participate in the Appeals Panel to inform discussion.

F. The Appeals Panel
The Appeal Panel will conduct an in person or telephone meeting with a representative(s) of the agency/collaborative who filed the appeal to discuss it, if needed. The Appeal Panel will inform appealing agencies of its decision. In all cases, the appeals panel has the final authority on appeals decisions.

The Ad-Hoc Committee ask that the CoC Board approve all recommendations and this document as presented.
### Annual Renewal Amount

- **Tier 1 (94%)** $21,439,115.80
- **Tier 2 (6%)** $1,368,454.20
- **Bonus Project (6%)** $1,368,454.20
- **DV Bonus** $1,461,215.00

**TOTAL RANKED** $25,637,239.20

### Planning Grant

**$684,227.00**

**TOTAL APPLICATION** $26,321,466.20

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Target Population</th>
<th>Total ARA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Families Forward</td>
<td>Families Forward Rapid Re-Housing</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>Households with Children</td>
<td>$383,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Interval House</td>
<td>Rapid Rehousing Program</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>Domestic Violence Single Females or Households with Children</td>
<td>$83,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Serving People in Need</td>
<td>CoC Rapid Re-Housing 2016</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>Households with Children</td>
<td>$428,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>American Family Housing</td>
<td>Permanent Housing Collaborative</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Chronically Homeless Individuals and Households with Children</td>
<td>$338,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>Samaritan Housing Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any Chronically Homeless population</td>
<td>$274,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Anaheim Supportive Housing, Inc.</td>
<td>Tyrol Plaza Senior Apartments</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Individuals</td>
<td>$147,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>#1 Consolidated Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any population</td>
<td>$3,487,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>2004 Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any population</td>
<td>$817,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Friendship Shelter, Inc.</td>
<td>Henderson House Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Chronically Homeless Youth Individuals</td>
<td>$540,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mercy House Living Centers</td>
<td>Mercy House - CoC - Rapid Re-Housing (JHR)</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>Individuals and Households with Children</td>
<td>$254,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Mercy House Living Centers</td>
<td>Mercy House's Children's Home-HomeLane</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Households with Children</td>
<td>$106,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Colette's Children Home, Inc.</td>
<td>Colette's Children's Home-HomeLane</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Chronically Homeless Single Females or Households with Children</td>
<td>$185,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Colette's Children Home, Inc.</td>
<td>Colette's Children's Home-HomeFirst</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any population</td>
<td>$649,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>2002 Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any population</td>
<td>$229,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>2009 Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any population</td>
<td>$307,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>Jacksonville Shelter Plus Care PRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any population</td>
<td>$248,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>Mercy House Permanent Bonus Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any Chronically Homeless population</td>
<td>$342,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mercy House Living Centers</td>
<td>OC PSH Collaboration Project II</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Chronically Homeless Individuals</td>
<td>$1,888,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>American Family Housing</td>
<td>Permanent Housing 2</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Chronically Homeless Individuals and Households with Children</td>
<td>$509,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Illumination Foundation</td>
<td>Street2Home OC</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any Chronically Homeless population</td>
<td>$1,700,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>2005 Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any population</td>
<td>$598,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>2008 Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any Chronically Homeless population</td>
<td>$183,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Mercy House Living Centers</td>
<td>Mercy House - CoC - PSH Leasing</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Chronically Homeless Individuals</td>
<td>$469,931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>2006 Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any population</td>
<td>$730,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>Colette's Children's Home Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any population</td>
<td>$644,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Illumination Foundation</td>
<td>Stanton Multi-Service Center</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any Chronically Homeless population</td>
<td>$599,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Mercy House Living Centers</td>
<td>CA-402 Mills End</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Chronically Homeless Individuals</td>
<td>$62,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Mercy House Living Centers</td>
<td>OC PSH Collaboration Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Chronically Homeless Individuals</td>
<td>$2,756,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>People for Irvine Community Health</td>
<td>HMIS Community Support NOFA 2017</td>
<td>HMIS</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$499,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>People for Irvine Community Health</td>
<td>HMIS Expansion NOFA 2017</td>
<td>HMIS</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$1,504,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>County of Orange</td>
<td>Coordinated Entry System SSD Grant NOFA 2017</td>
<td>CES</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$907,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>2007 Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any population</td>
<td>$682,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Fullerton Interfaith Emergency Service</td>
<td>Rapid Re-Housing for Families</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>Households with Children</td>
<td>$277,523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1736 Family Crisis Center</td>
<td>Rapid Re-housing for Homeless Veterans</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>Veteran Individuals and Households with Children</td>
<td>$67,950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TIER 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Target Population</th>
<th>Total ARA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1736 Family Crisis Center</td>
<td>Rapid Re-housing for Homeless Veterans</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>Veteran Individuals and Households with Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Orange County Housing Authority</td>
<td>2003 Shelter Plus Care TRA 2016 Renewal Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Any population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>DV Bonus</td>
<td>DV</td>
<td>$1,461,215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Approved by the Continuum of Care Board on August 22, 2018.

Total ARA: $25,637,239
Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP) Grant

Amounts Awarded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Total Amount</th>
<th>5% Administrative Cost</th>
<th>5 % Youth Set Aside</th>
<th>Available for Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td>$15,568,715.65</td>
<td>$778,435.78</td>
<td>$778,435.78</td>
<td>$14,011,844.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportional shares by 2017 PIT unsheltered count – 2,584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• North (936, 36%) – $5,044,263.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Central (1,362, 53%) – $7,426,277.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• South (286, 11%) – $1,541,302.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anaheim $3,690,885.84 $184,544.29 $184,544.29 $3,321,797.26
Santa Ana $3,690,885.84 $184,544.29 $184,544.29 $3,321,797.26

HEAP Requirements
- One time funds to address immediate homelessness challenges.
- 50 percent of awarded funds must be contractually obligated by January 1, 2020.
- 100 percent of awarded funds must be expended by June 30, 2021.
- Shelter crisis declaration is required for all cities and counties within the Continuum of Care (CoC) that wish to receive HEAP funds. Proof of shelter crisis declaration must be submitted with the application.
- Broad community engagement: The Office of Care Coordination will be hosting Service Planning Area meetings in mid-September to facilitate broad stakeholder engagement in State homeless funding opportunities.

State Application Process
- State Notice of Funding Availability to be released September 5, 2018
- Round 1 Application deadline is December 31, 2018.

Eligible Activities
- Emergency shelter construction
- Emergency housing vouchers
- Rapid rehousing
- Homeless prevention activities
- Emergency aid
- Criminal justice diversion programs for homeless individuals with mental illness
- Establishing or expanding services meeting the specific needs of homeless youth or youth at risk of homelessness (5% Youth Set Aside)

Localized Process
- Orange County CoC as the Administrative Entity to designate the County of Orange as the fiscal agent.
- Orange County CoC to establish local priorities for HEAP Grant and establish a transparent and competitive process for project selection.
- Orange County CoC Board form an ad hoc committee to review and recommend proposed projects submitted for funding to the CoC Board for approval (an possibly to the forthcoming Commission to End Homelessness for final approval).

County of Orange Board of Supervisor Regional Service Planning Area Priorities
- Move Courtyard Transitional Center to a more appropriate facility/location
- Identify a site for emergency shelter that serves South Service Planning Area
- Support North Service Planning Area increase shelter capacity to meet needs

Office of Care Coordination Recommendations
- Expand emergency shelter infrastructure regionally to address immediate crisis response needs. (HEAP)
- Prevention/diversion, Homeward Bound, Rapid Rehousing and Housing Navigation tied to Regional Service Planning Area outreach teams and emergency shelters/navigation centers. (HEAP/SB2)
**SB 2 Overview**

**Year 1 – Estimate $250 million**

- $125 million Funding to Target Homelessness
  - $5 million each – Bridges at Kraemer Place and Merced Navigation Center
  - $53 million – California Homeless Emergency Solutions and Housing Program (CESH)
  - $57.5 million Housing for a Healthy California Program
- $125 million Planning & Technical Assistance Funds – Additional information TBD
  - $500,000 – Large Localities (Greater than 200,000 people)
  - $250,000 – Medium Localities (60,000 to 200,000 people)
  - $125,000 – Small Localities (Less than 60,000 people)
  - $50,000 – minimum amount awarded

**Year 1 – California Emergency Solutions and Housing Program (CESH)**

- Orange County is eligible to apply for up to $1,948,684 to assist persons experiencing or at risk of homelessness
  - 5% Administrative Cost – $97,434.20
  - Remaining – $1,851,249.80

**CESH Minimum Application Requirements**

1. Orange County Continuum of Care (CoC) to designate the County of Orange as the Administrative Entity.
2. Provide documentation that Orange County CoC has an established Coordinated Entry System and Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) that meets HUD requirements
3. Provide documentation of local CoC project selection process to allocate available funds to carry out eligible activities.
4. Detail anticipated amounts allocated for eligible activities, as described in the application.
5. Establish goals and performance measures to evaluate success of eligible activities implementation for the 5-year term agreement with California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD).
6. Utilize HMIS data from the Orange County CoC to evaluate project and system performance.
7. Includes plan developed by the Orange County CoC jurisdiction to address homelessness

**Eligible Activities**

- Rental assistance, housing relocation and stabilization services
- Operating subsidies
- Flexible housing subsidy funds
- Operating support for emergency housing interventions (limit: 40% cap)
- Systems support to maintain comprehensive homeless services, housing delivery system and homelessness planning activities
- Develop or update a Coordinated Entry System and HMIS
- Development of a plan addressing actions to be taken within the CoC service area to address homelessness (by Regional Service Planning Area)

**Additional Requirements**

- Eligible activities must incorporate the core components of Housing First
- Eligible activities shall utilize the Coordinated Entry System to prioritize individuals for services
- County of Orange as the administrative entity, to monitor the activities and expenditures of sub-recipients annually and may be monitored by HCD to ensure compliance with program requirements

**State Timeline**

- State Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) Round 1 was released August 15, 2018 - [http://www.hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/active-funding/cesh.shtml](http://www.hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/active-funding/cesh.shtml)
- Early Applications are due by 5:00 p.m. on September 27, 2018 for November 2018 award
- Final applications are due by 5:00 p.m. on October 15, 2018
- Final Awards to be announced in November & December 2018
- NOFA Round 2 to be released in early 2019
Year 1 – Housing for a Healthy California Program – $57.5 million Statewide

Eligible Activities
- Supportive housing, which is affordable housing with intensive services
- Acquire, construct, or rehabilitate housing and for project-based operating assistance such as rental assistance for up to five years or a capitalized operating reserve for at least 15 years to pay for operating costs
- Housing may also be created through operating reserve grants and capital loans to developers
- Competitive grant process—Guidelines and requirements for this program will be released at end of 2018

Year 1 – Planning & Technical Assistance Funds to Streamline Development – $125 million

Eligible Activities
- Update to general plans, community plans, specific plans, local planning related to sustainable communities strategies, local coastal plans and zoning ordinances
- Environmental analyses that eliminate the need for project-specific review and local processes updates that improve and expedite local permitting

Requirements
- Jurisdictions must have a compliant housing element
- Jurisdictions must submit a current Annual Progress Report (APR) for 2017, which was due April 2018

State Timeline
- Spring 2018 – Initiate public outreach
- Summer 2018 – Provision of planning technical assistance
- Summer 2018 – Development of guidelines
- Fall 2018 – Guidelines released
- Spring 2019 – Release of State NOFA

Year 2 and Ongoing – Estimate $250 million

- 70% of funding to Local Governments
  - 83% allocation to entitlement jurisdictions – 22 Cities in Orange County receive Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) / 11 Cities are with Urban County formula

Eligible Activities
- Low-income housing such as low-income multifamily housing development; capitalized reserves for permanent supportive housing; acquisition and rehabilitation of foreclosed or vacant homes; accessibility modifications.
- Moderate-income housing
- Homelessness Programs such as rapid rehousing, rental assistance, navigation centers, emergency shelters, and permanent and transitional housing
- Local matching funds-local or regional housing trust funds
- Incentives or matching funds for permitting new housing

State Timeline
- Funds must be appropriated by Legislature to HCD before they can be awarded—expected to be available after June 15, 2019