### **CYBH Full Service Partnerships**

FY 2013-14 Outcomes





## Orange County Children and TAY FSW Model

- ▶Based on SB 163
- >Services brokered not directly provided
- >Services in place when Wrap no longer needed
- Easier transition back to community based services
- >Decreased dependency on Wrap provider



### Orange County Children and TAY FSW Model Challenges

- ➤ Difficulty with Outcome Measures
  - System does not distinguish what the treatment goal is. For example - school vs. employment.
  - System tracks progress for children but doesn't capture outcomes for parents. For example – housing and employment.



# The Problem of Recovery For Children and TAY

- Recovery is a concept that served the target populations of AB2034 and seems to provide a meaningful framework for many SMI adults.
- Recovery is a difficult concept for those dealing with children and youth because recovery implies a skill or level of functioning that has been attained and then lost (due to mental illness/co-occurring disorders) and that effective programming will restore the individual to the previous level of functioning.
- SED children and SMI youth have never attained the skill and need assistance in identifying what skills need to be developed and how best to attain them



#### **FSP Specifics**

PROGRAM	AGE RANGE	FOCUS	SLOTS
STAY	18-25 y/o	SMI at risk of homelessness	228
RENEW	0-18 y/o	SED at risk of homelessness	172
FOCUS	0-25 y/o	SED/SMI culturally or linguistically isolated	50
YOW	14-25 y/o	SED/SMI history of rehabilitation efforts in custody	100
Collaborative Courts	5-25 y/o	SED/SMI dual jurisdiction, Truancy or Drug Court	140
TOTAL			690









